



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,951	10/25/2000	Mark T. Cranna	010211.0045	4713

21832 7590 09/02/2003

CUMMINGS AND LOCKWOOD
GRANITE SQUARE
700 STATE STREET
P O BOX 1960
NEW HAVEN, CT 06509-1960

EXAMINER

DEXTER, CLARK F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3724

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/695,951

Applicant(s)
Cranna et al.

Examiner
Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit
3724



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Mark Giarratana

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Clark Dexter

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 29, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 27, 34, and 36

Identification of prior art discussed:

Clark, pn Re 31,433; Grelck, pn 820,969

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Regarding the prior art rejection, applicant's position is that the teaching of Clark would not motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to disrupt the gullet configuration taught thereby by adding shelves such as those disclosed in Grelck. And conversely, applicant's position is that because Grelck teaches minimizing the kerf and providing shelves below the bend line to remove dust, there is no teaching to provide shelves above the bend line, i.e., between the bend line and the tips of the teeth. The Examiner stated that his position is that providing larger sets in saw teeth is old and well known in the art (one example being Clark) wherein the teeth are set from the base, and that one having ordinary skill in the art, when employing the saw of Grelck and wanting a larger kerf, would set the teeth from the base of the teeth. Thus the shelves would fall between the bend plane and the tip of each tooth. Further, applicant's position is that the additional structure set forth in claim 36 (e.g., shown as 244' in Figure 5) is not taught by the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CLARK F. DEXTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3724

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.